

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

## Complications

# Research recommendations from the 2024 Breakthrough T1D, Diabetes UK and Kidney Research UK, diabetes and kidney disease expert workshop

Zoe Markham-Lee<sup>1</sup>  | Swati Bhagat-Jones<sup>1</sup> | Jill Carlton<sup>2</sup>  | Andrew Frankel<sup>3</sup> | Simon Fraser<sup>4</sup> | Hellena H. Habte-Asres<sup>5</sup>  | Claire Hill<sup>6</sup>  | Naresh Kanumilli<sup>7</sup> | Janaka Karalliedde<sup>8,9</sup>  | Annelie Maskell<sup>1</sup> | Amy Jayne McKnight<sup>6</sup> | Anna Morris<sup>10</sup> | Dorothea Nitsch<sup>11</sup> | Nia Otake John<sup>10</sup> | Steven Parks<sup>10</sup> | Sarah Jane Robinson<sup>12</sup> | Miranda Rosenthal<sup>8</sup> | Martin K. Rutter<sup>13,14</sup> | Caroline Schmutz<sup>15</sup> | Shawna Scullion<sup>1,16</sup> | Rachel Smith<sup>16,17</sup> | Linda Tarm<sup>18</sup> | David C. Wheeler<sup>19</sup>  | Daniel Newman<sup>16</sup> | Sanjay Mistry<sup>1,16</sup> | Kieran McCafferty<sup>20,21</sup> | Claire E. Hills<sup>22</sup>

**Correspondence**

Zoe Markham-Lee, Kidney Research UK, Stuart House, City Road, Peterborough PE1 1QF, UK.  
Email: [zoemarkham-lee@kidneyresearchuk.org](mailto:zoemarkham-lee@kidneyresearchuk.org)

**Funding information**

Diabetes UK; Breakthrough T1D; Kidney Research UK

**Abstract**

**Aims:** To develop a position statement that identifies research priorities in diabetic kidney disease and provides recommendations to researchers and research funders on how best to address them.

**Methods:** A one-day research workshop was conducted, bringing together research experts in diabetes and kidney disease, healthcare professionals, and people living with diabetes, to identify and prioritise research recommendations.

**Results:** The following key areas were identified as needing increased focus:

- Understanding causal mechanisms in diabetic kidney disease
- Prevention of diabetic kidney disease
- Addressing health inequalities
- Improving diagnosis
- Improving care
- Supporting self-management

**Conclusions:** This position statement outlines recommendations to address the urgent need to tackle diabetic kidney disease and calls on the diabetes and kidney research communities to act upon these recommendations to ensure future research works to eliminate unfair and avoidable disparities in health.

**KEYWORDS**

diabetes, kidney disease, patient and public involvement, research priorities

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is the leading cause of kidney disease in the UK. It occurs in up to 50% of those living with diabetes, is a major cause of end-stage kidney disease that requires treatment with dialysis or renal transplantation, and is associated with significantly increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. There is limited funding for kidney research, with only 1% of the UK non-commercial research spend invested in this general area and much less for diabetic kidney disease (DKD) specifically. From a lived experience perspective, people with diabetes often receive little information about the causes and prevention of DKD, even though around 1 in 3 will develop the condition during their lifetime.<sup>1,2</sup>

Breakthrough T1D (formerly JDRF), Diabetes UK and Kidney Research UK share common interests in preventing people living with diabetes from developing kidney disease, finding ways to protect kidney health for people living with kidney disease, and developing treatments to reduce the damage caused by kidney disease to kidneys.

Following an initial review of funding in this area, the charities highlighted the need for a workshop bringing together the diabetes and kidney communities to build consensus on evidence gaps and identify areas where additional research is needed.

## 2 | METHODS

In February 2024, Breakthrough T1D, Diabetes UK and Kidney Research UK brought together clinicians, academics, and people with lived experience for a 1-day workshop to identify key gaps in the evidence and best practice around DKD. In total, there were 38 participants, including 6 people living with or affected by diabetes, 14 researchers, 14 healthcare professionals (including GPs, consultants, nurses, a psychologist, pharmacists, and dietitians), 2 charity and advocacy organisations, 2 health economists, and 11 Diabetes UK, Kidney Research UK, and Breakthrough T1D staff who facilitated the workshop. Participants are listed in Supporting Information Appendix A.

When determining the scope and format of the workshop, an expert advisory group made up of 1 researcher, 1 healthcare professional, and 2 people living with diabetes was convened to guide the design of the workshop. A survey was also conducted amongst people with lived experience to help design the workshop. Insights gathered were clustered into six thematic areas (see below), which were used to direct roundtable discussions at the workshop.

### What's new?

- Breakthrough T1D, Diabetes UK and Kidney Research UK held a research workshop that brought together healthcare professionals, academics, charity representatives, and experts by experience living with diabetes and/or kidney disease to identify key research priorities and recommendations in diabetic kidney disease.
- Six themes were identified, and research recommendations were developed:
  - Understanding causal mechanisms in diabetic kidney disease
  - Prevention of diabetic kidney disease
  - Addressing health inequalities
  - Improving diagnosis
  - Improving care
  - Supporting self-management

### 2.1 | Thematic areas

1. Causes
2. Diagnosis
3. Prevention
4. Treatments
5. Day-to-day management
6. Improved care

The workshop commenced with presentations from experts in the field (listed in Supporting Information Appendix B), followed by a fireside chat where people living with diabetes and kidney disease shared their experiences. Following these presentations, all workshop participants self-selected into small groups divided into the thematic areas and were asked to discuss the following questions:

- What do we already know about this area? Where is there good evidence?
- Where are the gaps in knowledge, and where is further evidence needed?
- From your lived experience, what would you say are the common questions or issues you face that go unanswered when visiting the clinic?
- From what you are hearing, are there any areas you think researchers or clinicians are not addressing that are important to you?
- What are the burning questions?

Responses to these questions were collated and themed by the facilitators. Participants were then invited to

self-select a theme they would like to discuss in more detail. Each group was then asked to refine the research questions relative to their topic, answering the following questions:

- What is the specific research question(s)?
- What are the opportunities?
- What approaches should be taken?
- What are the barriers? Any dependencies? How could they be overcome?
- Who needs to be involved?
- What scale of investment is needed?
- How could this be funded?

This report summarises the outputs from those discussions and outlines key recommendations to address DKD through research. An overview of key recommendations can be found in [Table 1](#).

### 3 | FINDINGS

#### 3.1 | Theme 1: Understanding causal mechanisms in diabetic kidney disease

##### 3.1.1 | Context

DKD is the clinical manifestation of multiple pathologies in people with diabetes and therefore, we need to think broadly

about underlying causes. The pathogenesis of DKD involves modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors such as glucose levels and familial factors. What makes the topic more complex is that variation in the onset and progression of DKD, and variability in the associated clinical outcomes, depend on factors specific to individuals including ethnicity, deprivation, and other multiple long-term conditions. Therefore, the field would benefit from an individualised approach to the causes of DKD, alongside tackling the social determinants of ill health, which could lead to the development of more personalised interventions.

Several burning research questions highlight important gaps in our understanding of the pathogenesis of DKD and its progression.<sup>3</sup> Which molecular processes determine faster compared to slower DKD progression? How do pathological processes differ by diabetes type and how do these processes compare to chronic kidney disease (CKD) in people without diabetes? How do genetic and environmental factors interact in individuals to cause DKD progression?<sup>4,5</sup> How can we best stratify people with DKD based on underlying causal pathways to better guide personalised intervention?<sup>6</sup> Can we identify biomarkers early in disease progression to help stratify people for specific interventions?<sup>7</sup> How do other organs contribute to the development of, or are affected by, DKD? How do sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors modulate inter-organ communication, particularly between the kidneys, pancreas, liver, eyes, and adipose tissue, and

**TABLE 1** Research themes in diabetic kidney disease and key recommendations to address these priorities.

Theme	Key recommendations in diabetic kidney disease research
Understanding causal mechanisms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improve understanding of DKD pathogenesis</li> <li>• Improve engagement of diverse populations in co-design and participation in interventional trials</li> <li>• Identify how best to communicate DKD risk, causes and prevention</li> </ul>
Improving prevention	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Carry out multidisciplinary research into social determinants of health</li> <li>• Explore barriers to routine urine testing for kidney disease</li> <li>• Conduct a review of polypharmacy and drugs available to support prevention of DKD</li> </ul>
Addressing health inequalities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Innovate participatory action research (utilising lived experience to refine strategies)</li> <li>• Identify under-diagnosed groups</li> <li>• Understand how interventions can be implemented to increase diagnosis and management</li> </ul>
Improving diagnosis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop better biomarkers and tools using multi-disciplinary approaches: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Identify and understand heterogenous subtypes of kidney disease</li> <li>○ Embrace a multi-ethnic approach to capturing diverse genetic and environmental factors</li> <li>○ Utilise representative longitudinal, cohort-based and data-driven studies</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Improve diagnosis rates: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Define interventions to improve diagnosis in primary care and beyond</li> <li>○ Enhance education on DKD management among general practitioners to ensure best practice</li> <li>○ Utilise knowledge of lived expertise to communicate need for testing</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Improving care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify effective methods of screening and managing distress and depression</li> <li>• Evaluate the use of hybrid closed loop in people with diabetes on dialysis</li> <li>• Identify most effective DKD care models and how to implement them across healthcare settings</li> </ul>
Supporting self-management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enhance awareness of self-management among healthcare professionals to improve care</li> <li>• Develop and evaluate structured education programme for people living with DKD</li> <li>• Explore the use of patient reported outcome measures to support self-management</li> </ul>

what insights can be gained from understanding these effects in relation to mechanisms of DKD progression?<sup>8–11</sup> Can the study of drugs targeting specific pathways in organs such as the pancreas (e.g., glucagon-like peptide-1 [GLP-1] receptor agonists, liver (e.g., PPAR agonists), or the cardiovascular system (e.g., angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, SGLT2i, GLP-1 receptor agonists) provide insights into the interconnected mechanisms underlying DKD pathogenesis?<sup>12</sup>

### Research recommendations

#### (1)

- A Improve understanding of DKD pathogenesis
- (i) through the integration of data at multiple levels: molecular, cellular, organ, person, and societal.
  - (ii) through developing research in large diverse populations including the creation of a UK-wide DKD longitudinal biorepository/registry. These approaches would make use of prospectively collected electronic health records, NHS data, histological and tissue-level data, multi-omics data, and analysis using machine learning and AI techniques to help untangle the network of complex causal pathways.
  - (iii) through developing an international platform for knowledge/data sharing.
  - (iv) through developing better animal models of human DKD, kidney organoids derived from patient cells and improved in vivo imaging technologies.
  - (v) by identifying shared molecular mechanisms underlying DKD and other diabetes-related complications.
  - (vi) through studying medication with beneficial effects on DKD progression.
- B Find effective ways to improve engagement of diverse populations in the co-design and participation in interventional trials targeting novel molecular pathways.
- C Research and identify the most appropriate way of communicating DKD risk, its causes, and prevention, with people living and working with diabetes.

## 3.2 | Theme 2: Prevention of diabetic kidney disease

### 3.2.1 | Context

DKD is a complex condition influenced by non-modifiable risk factors such as chronological age, age at onset of diabetes, duration of diabetes, and inherited genetic phenotype.<sup>13</sup> It is also influenced by risk factors that are

amenable to prevention strategies such as smoking, hyperglycaemia, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, overweight and obesity, diet, physical activity, drugs such as steroids and diuretics, and environmental impacts.<sup>14</sup>

There are personal health and economic drivers to prevent diabetes and the subsequent development of kidney disease. Intensive management of modifiable risk factors decreases the cumulative incidence of kidney disease in many, but not all, individuals with diabetes. We are yet to understand why some individuals who meet glucose targets develop DKD, while others whose glucose levels are not well controlled are protected from developing DKD.

For people with diabetes, cost-effective screening strategies for kidney disease should be routinely employed, but implementation is sub-optimal.<sup>14</sup> While improved biomarkers for the earlier detection of kidney disease are required, best use is not being made of those that are well established. There is a need to develop personalised prediction and prevention tools that help identify people at increased risk to enable earlier therapeutic interventions. An effective prevention strategy for DKD includes consideration of environmental exposures across the life course, community engagement, understanding of biological mechanisms underlying disease risks, and interventions that increase resilience to DKD, alongside sustained, evidence-based public health messages.

Significant investment has been made to raise awareness of diabetes and complications of diabetes; for example, training days for health and social care professionals, presentations at workshops, conferences and annual meetings, embedding screening guidelines and diagnostic tools in undergraduate curricula, and continuing professional development training, but significant knowledge gaps remain. Investing in education and intensive intervention strategies reduces the prevalence of diabetes and associated complications, particularly when implemented shortly after a timely diagnosis.<sup>15–18</sup> Leveraging digital innovations such as clinical decisional support tools, online platforms, social media, wearable technology, self-management apps, community groups, and remote/virtual healthcare delivery supports people on their diabetes journey and can facilitate diabetes management and DKD prevention strategies. More research is required to maximise awareness of diabetes and improve education strategies that optimise the management of diabetes, ultimately reducing the onset and progression of DKD.

### Research recommendations

#### (2)

- A Development of cohorts sampled across their life course with biomarkers linked to comprehensive exposure data, health and social care systems, residential, and occupational information.

- B Research to increase mechanistic understanding of how different risk factors and external exposures interact at an individual level.
- C Multidisciplinary research considers social determinants of health to co-create interventions that address underlying health inequalities.
- D Research exploring the barriers to routine urine testing for kidney disease in people with diabetes and how to overcome these barriers (Early identification of kidney damage is a key aspect of prevention, particularly with new and emerging therapies becoming available.)
- E Review of Polypharmacy and drugs available to support the prevention of DKD.
- F Exploring how best to encourage patients and families to take a more active role in their care, considering health behaviour models when co-designing strategies that promote adherence to medicines, healthy behaviours including weight management, and appropriate physical activity.
- G Development, evaluation, and implementation of age-appropriate digital tools and peer/community support strategies that support people living with diabetes to develop and maintain a lifestyle that optimises healthy kidneys.

### 3.3 | Theme 3: Addressing health inequalities

#### 3.3.1 | Context

A 2024 cross-sectional analysis of a large primary care database highlighted inequalities in DKD management in the UK.<sup>19</sup> This study highlighted inequalities with respect to socio-economic factors, ethnicity, age, and sex. For example, females were less likely to have biochemical measures recorded in the previous year, blood pressure or cholesterol measures at or better than target levels, and to be prescribed statins or renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) inhibitors. Phillips et al. reported reduced biochemical and health measurements in individuals with lower socio-economic status (defined by the Townsend deprivation score (based on the participants postcode)), as well as different prescribing patterns depending on ethnicity. Intriguingly, the study reported that ethnicity data was missing for 46% of individuals and socio-economic data missing for 27% of individuals, reflecting the often observed ‘data-gap’ that makes research on such inequalities challenging.<sup>19</sup>

Ozaki et al. investigated the prescribing patterns of (SGLT2) inhibitors in 208,303 individuals from Canada with concomitant type 2 diabetes (T2D) and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD).<sup>20</sup> Female sex, aged

75 years or older, having a history of heart failure and kidney disease, and low income were independent factors predicting lower SGLT2 inhibitor prescribing. For example, the prescribing of SGLT2 inhibitors was 47.3% higher in those without CKD compared to those with CKD.<sup>20</sup>

A 2023 UK Kidney Association (UKKA) report highlighted that adults whose ethnicity was Other, Black, Asian, or Mixed were more likely to experience kidney failure and develop kidney failure at a younger age compared to adults whose ethnicity was White.<sup>21</sup> This report also highlighted that a high proportion (65%–81%) of adults whose ethnicity was Other, Black, Asian, or Mixed were living in regions of above-average deprivation; only 53% of individuals of white ethnicity lived in such regions. Diabetes was the leading cause of kidney disease across ethnicities, but the proportion of individuals experiencing DKD was higher in adults whose ethnicity was Other (31%), Black (35%), Asian (46%) or Mixed (33%) compared to individuals of White ethnicity (25%).

Prevention strategies minimise the risk of type 2 diabetes and the onset of micro and macrovascular complications associated with diabetes. Risk factors for poorer patient outcomes include being from a Black, Asian, or minority ethnic group, older age, socioeconomic deprivation, and BMI >30—all features where individuals may be less engaged with national healthcare services and yet who would benefit from tailored protective strategies.<sup>19,22</sup> Exacerbating such inequalities is the fact that most biomarkers identified for DKD are based on research in White Caucasian individuals. There are known genetic differences affecting the progression of kidney disease in certain ethnicities.<sup>23</sup>

Additional multi-ethnic research is required so that health, social, and biological data is representative of communities living with diabetes, enabling them to benefit from data-driven digital health improvements.<sup>24</sup> Emerging strategies to engage with underserved communities include the Diabetes Prevention Program for underserved populations,<sup>25</sup> group clinics for young adults in ethnically diverse and socioeconomically deprived communities,<sup>26</sup> and partnering with faith-based organizations, schools, workplaces, community development groups, and social service organisations to reach socially disadvantaged communities and positively influence health behaviours.<sup>27</sup> However, there remains a significant knowledge gap as to how these are best implemented.

More research is needed to understand the fundamental causes of health inequalities, so that adequate interventions can be developed to overcome them. Research must first fill the ‘data gap’, ensuring that high-quality data is available to carry out high-powered investigations to explore the impact of ethnicity, sex, age, and socio-economic factors across kidney disease stages.<sup>28</sup>

### Research recommendations

#### (3)

- A Establish links with underserved communities, exploring how best to empower people living with diabetes to advocate for optimised, coordinated care, and shared decision-making.
- B Conduct participatory action research<sup>29</sup> engaging individuals who have lived experience, researchers, and HCPs to design and refine public health prevention strategies, medication adherence, and care delivery models for diabetes.
- C Explore enablers and barriers to implementing and accessing structured educational intervention programmes to help inform how future programmes should be co-designed, co-created, and implemented for underserved communities.
- D Deliver a scoping review for digital exclusion, with a focus on attitudes to digital tools supporting those with, or at risk of, diabetes, alongside barriers to digital participation.
- E Audit of implementation of agreed and optimal diabetes care pathways,<sup>30–33</sup> exploring the real-time impact of prevention strategies for patient outcomes across diverse groups.
- F Identify barriers to recording ethnicity in general practice and which methods could be used to improve this.
- G Identify which groups of people are currently underdiagnosed or experience poorer disease management with respect to DKD and determine to what degree health inequalities are responsible for the underrepresentation or undermanagement of these groups.
  - (i) Obtain information about these under-represented or under-managed groups, such as key demographics and outcomes.
  - (ii) Understand how interventions can be implemented to increase diagnosis and management in these groups.
- H Utilise the advanced knowledge gained via the points above to update expected prevalence models of DKD.

## 3.4 | Theme 4: Improving diagnosis

### 3.4.1 | Developing better biomarkers/tools for the early detection of diabetic kidney disease

#### 3.4.1.1 | Context

DKD is usually diagnosed if patients with diabetes develop albuminuria or a persistent reduction in glomerular filtration rate. Kidney biopsies to confirm the presence of

diabetic nephropathy are not routinely carried out, meaning a DKD diagnosis is often given based on the presence of CKD in patients with diabetes.<sup>34,35</sup>

The biggest barrier to early diagnosis is failure to recognise albuminuria and not using established markers of DKD earlier. Current mechanisms of measuring albuminuria (a reliable and validated marker of DKD detection and progression) have been problematic, with variable penetrance into routine practice.

However, the course of kidney disease in patients with type 1 diabetes, and many with type 2 diabetes, is heterogeneous; not always following the classic CKD pathway of progressive hyperfiltration (increasing levels of albuminuria) and then progressive kidney function decline leading to end-stage kidney disease (ESKD).<sup>34,36</sup> Studies have shown a large proportion of individuals with diabetes and reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate, who do not have significant albuminuria.<sup>37–40</sup> Moreover, inequalities have been observed in how well patients with diabetes are monitored and managed based on sex, socio-economic factors, CKD stage, age and ethnicity,<sup>19,37</sup> putting particular groups of patients at increased risk of more rapid kidney function decline.

Work is needed to improve and standardise the monitoring and management of diabetes to reduce the risk of kidney function decline. Moreover, novel methods to detect kidney function decline at an earlier stage and predict those who may decline at a faster rate are needed. This is particularly important to identify DKD in patients who may not present with the earlier albuminuria marker and might otherwise be overlooked. Effective interventions and medications are available upon DKD diagnosis,<sup>41</sup> emphasising the importance of early detection.

### Research recommendations

#### (4)

- A Identify interventions that increase the use of albuminuria testing in routine care
- B Identify new biomarkers for DKD diagnosis and risk stratification.
  - (i) Embrace innovative approaches, including basic research, data science, machine learning, and advanced genomics, such as long-read DNA sequencing, to uncover novel patterns and associations.
  - (ii) Explore common underlying mechanisms of diabetes and learn from shared mechanisms of disease to better understand potential biomarkers, i.e., hypertension.
- C Identify and understand the underlying heterogeneous subtypes of kidney disease to enable personalised treatment and monitoring.
- D Embrace a multi-ethnic perspective to capture diverse genetic and environmental factors.

- E Engage with patient communities to understand various disease subtypes/enotypes and their experiences.
- F Utilise longitudinal- and cohort-based studies, ensuring that these studies are representative of the wider population.
- G Expand data-driven studies, establish robust registries, and enhance population-based research initiatives.
- H Build collaborations between research centres, data repositories, industry partners, healthcare services, and universities.

### 3.4.2 | Improving rates of diabetic kidney disease diagnosis

#### 3.4.2.1 | Context

NICE guidelines recommend estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and urine albumin: creatinine ratio (uACR) testing for patients with diabetes, and in the UK, this is to be included in an annual diabetes review.<sup>42,43</sup> Early screening for albuminuria is a crucial step in the identification of kidney damage<sup>44</sup> and for monitoring kidney function.<sup>45</sup> However, the UK National Diabetes Audit (2019/2020) reported that whilst 94.4% of patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes had a measurement of serum creatinine (sCrea), only 61.1% had a measurement of urine albumin. These values decreased to 85.7% and 52.7%, respectively, after the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>46,47</sup> Phillips et al. reported similar results via a cross-sectional analysis of the IQVIA Medical Research Data in the UK. They reported that for patients with diabetes (type 1 or type 2) and CKD, 92.7% had sCrea and 59.7% had albumin: creatinine ratio (ACR) measured within the previous year.<sup>19</sup> This study highlighted inequalities in testing with people aged 81 years and older and 18–30 years, 9% and 69%, less likely to have ACR measured, respectively.<sup>19</sup> Female patients were less likely to have sCrea and ACR measurements compared to male patients (sCrea—Male: 93%, Female: 92%; ACR—Male: 62%, Female: 58%).<sup>19</sup> Patients with type 1 diabetes, compared to type 2 diabetes, were also less likely to have sCrea and ACR measurements (sCrea—T1D: 88%, T2D: 93%; ACR—T1D: 46%, T2D: 61%).<sup>19</sup>

Unfortunately, there is evidence that the lack of timely identification of CKD is a longstanding problem. A 2015 analysis among just under 13,000 people with incident CKD stages 3–5 in the south of England identified that only about a third had uACR testing over a three-year period.<sup>48</sup> Among people with diabetes at study entry, only 18.8% were registered as having CKD within a year of it being identified from eGFR values.

Further research is needed to understand why clinicians may not request urine samples and/or why patients may not provide them. Obtaining urine samples

for testing can be difficult, leading to frustration and challenges in diagnosis. Sex-specific factors may also exist with regard to the uptake of urine testing. Informational needs for females have been explored in the context of urinary tract infections<sup>49</sup> but have not yet been investigated in the context of DKD. Research into novel diagnostic approaches that do not rely on urine samples may improve DKD detection and accessibility for certain patient populations. There may be health system factors that impose significant barriers to diagnosis, such as a lack of time in primary care, care structured around single-condition paradigms, and education of the healthcare workforce.<sup>50</sup>

While patients are often aware of diabetes, they may lack understanding about CKD and its implications. ‘Think Kidneys’ is an NHS England programme, and in partnership with the UK Renal Registry and Ipsos MORI, they released the report ‘Understanding what the public know about their kidneys and what they do’ in 2015.<sup>51,52</sup> This report, surveying 2005 residents of Great Britain (older than 15), highlighted a clear knowledge gap in this area. Only 51% of respondents were aware that the kidneys made urine, with 10% of respondents aware that the kidneys help to control blood pressure. Moreover, only 0.3% of respondents reported diabetes as one of the ‘biggest danger(s) to the health of your kidneys’.<sup>51</sup>

Effective communication strategies are required to convey the implications of CKD diagnoses to patients and to support health care providers in this endeavour. Additionally, risk assessment tools such as the Kidney Failure Risk Equation (KFRE) can aid in discussing diagnoses, particularly for older individuals without proteinuria, and can assist general practitioners in their referral strategy.<sup>52</sup> Improvements in health service organisation are also needed to ensure that urine sample collection is facilitated in practice to reduce barriers for patients and clinicians.

#### Research recommendations

##### (4)

##### Healthcare provider engagement:

- I Determine what interventions are needed in primary care and beyond to improve the diagnosis of kidney disease in people living with diabetes.
  - (i) Identify opportunities to expand beyond primary care, harnessing already available infrastructure.
  - (ii) Investigate the feasibility of ‘one-stop shop’ opportunities for diagnosis.
  - (iii) Explore alternatives for current diagnostic tests.
  - (iv) Establish the health economic benefits of such interventions.
- J Explore the incentivisation of health care providers and other system-level methods designed to encourage

wider uACR testing and determine whether paying for or providing vouchers for patients would encourage them to seek and take up testing.

- K Explore methods to enhance education among general practitioners regarding CKD management in diabetes, including awareness of proteinuria and updated diagnostic criteria, such as Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) guidelines<sup>41</sup> and implementing the Kidney Failure Risk Equation (KRFE) in practice.<sup>52</sup>

Patient engagement:

- L Ask those living with diabetes how access to and uptake of testing could be improved, including:
- (i) Speaking to different communities to understand the variation in approaches needed.
  - (ii) Modeling these different approaches in health economic evaluations.
  - (iii) Utilise the knowledge of people with lived expertise to understand the best way to communicate the need for testing, as well as understanding the psychological impact of diagnosis and the associated burden offset by long-term reduced progression.
  - (iv) Working with community leaders to increase the visibility of people taking tests.
- M Explore the potential benefits of providing patients with their KDIGO chart and determine its impact on understanding and management.

Research institute engagement:

- N Develop 'better biomarkers/tools for the early detection of diabetic kidney disease', as outlined in the research prevention and diagnosis themes above.
- O Determine who should undergo screening for DKD based on risk factors and population characteristics.
- (i) Include an awareness of current inequalities, as outlined in the 'Understanding and addressing inequalities' theme above.
- P Considering genetic variations and rare forms of kidney disease in diagnostic processes is necessary for comprehensive care.

### 3.5 | Theme 5: Improving care

#### 3.5.1 | Context

Extensive guidelines are in place for the management of DKD,<sup>53,54</sup> but they are not implemented effectively. It is

important to understand and investigate both the barriers and enablers of improved care so that effective service transformation can focus on the early development of DKD. An important question is to understand the impact of removing uACR from the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QoF) evaluation in England on the early identification of those with DKD and what opportunities exist for ensuring early screening and prevention in primary care.<sup>55</sup>

Philip et al.<sup>19</sup> identified inequalities in outcomes for people with diabetes and CKD among different socioeconomic groups, sexes, and ethnicities. Particular attention needs to be paid to investigating how to engage these at-risk groups and to understand what novel interventions can be employed to prevent worse outcomes in this cohort. The work needs to evaluate the impact of low health literacy, multiple long-term conditions, ethnicity, and socioeconomic groups on novel interventions so that outcomes for those with the worst outcomes can be improved.

Models of care for this cohort need to be holistic, with a particular focus on healthcare settings so that people living with DKD experience integration of the interactions they need to improve outcomes.<sup>56</sup> Co-production or evidence-based co-design is a method of engaging with communities through partnership and power-sharing between professionals and communities to collaboratively create models of care, solutions, and decisions. The aim is to improve healthcare delivery and outcomes in the long term.<sup>57</sup>

Screening for diabetes distress and depression in those with DKD is important and can inform about how low mood impacts engagement, outcomes, and well-being.

#### Research recommendations

##### (5)

- A Evaluate the most effective strategies to improve the implementation of existing guidelines for DKD management with identification of barriers to early screening and prevention of DKD in primary care, and how these can be overcome.
- B Assessment of whether the removal of uACR from the QoF evaluation in England affects the early identification of DKD and the identification of alternative markers that could be used for early detection.
- C Develop novel interventions to prevent worse outcomes in patients at high risk of DKD, considering factors such as low health literacy, multiple long-term conditions, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status.
- D Identify the most effective methods for screening and managing distress and depression in patients with DKD, and how these impact engagement, outcomes, and well-being.

- E Assessment of the long-term outcomes of various interventions for DKD, including medication, lifestyle changes, and surgical interventions.
- F Explore the role genetic factors play in the development and progression of DKD, and the development of approaches which use this knowledge to personalise treatment and prevention strategies.
- G Explore how digital health technologies can be leveraged to improve self-management and monitoring of DKD.
- H Identify the most effective models of care for DKD, and how these can be implemented across healthcare settings to ensure equitable access and outcomes for all patients.
- I Evaluation of the safety, feasibility, acceptability, and efficacy of using Hybrid Closed Loop in people with diabetes on dialysis.

### 3.6 | Theme 6: Supporting self-management

#### 3.6.1 | Context

Self-management is an integral part of living with chronic disease. It encompasses making behavioural choices (exercise, diet), taking medication, and the use of medical technology. In young people, there is a known challenge of moving from paediatric to adult care, which often impacts the management of chronic conditions including diabetes and kidney disease.<sup>58</sup>

Research has shown that most patients in weight loss clinics regain weight, and that maintaining weight loss with the conventional approaches is very difficult, if not impossible.<sup>59</sup> Unfortunately, the societal approach with regard to blaming overweight/obesity on individuals has caused untold damage, with patients struggling to lose weight or maintain weight being unfairly stigmatised and demotivated.

By the time a patient with diabetes has been diagnosed with DKD, they typically have a long-standing history of having received conflicting information by a range of health professionals, potentially feeling stigmatised/blamed for not having managed their diabetes, weight, or blood sugar well enough, and struggling to access relevant, appropriately targeted information. For example, many patients with DKD find it hard to get meaningful lifestyle advice: available nutritional information for DKD is primarily written for pre-dialysis patients who need to restrict potassium and/or phosphate, whilst for the majority of patients with less severe DKD and diabetes, a healthy Mediterranean diet with reduced salt and red meat intake would be

appropriate.<sup>60</sup> Many health professionals engaging with these patients do not have an overview or understanding of which self-management choices are appropriate for multiple long-term conditions. There is a wide differential in knowledge and health literacy which further aggravates existing population health inequalities.

#### Research recommendations (6)

- A Development and evaluation of healthcare professional educational interventions to raise awareness of evidence-based self-management resulting in improved care for people with DKD.
- B Development and evaluation of a structured education programme for people living with DKD.
- C Explore the use of patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs) in routine clinical management/engagement to monitor and support self-management.

## 4 | CONCLUSION

This research workshop was an important step in understanding the actions needed to help tackle diabetic kidney disease through research. Whilst these recommendations are designed by UK stakeholders with a focus on the UK health system, there is broader international relevance for the majority of recommendations.

Breakthrough T1D, Diabetes UK and Kidney Research UK call on researchers, funders, health services, and people living with or affected by Diabetes and/or Diabetic Kidney Disease to act upon the recommendations set out within this paper.

### AFFILIATIONS

<sup>1</sup>Kidney Research UK, Peterborough, UK

<sup>2</sup>University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

<sup>3</sup>Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK

<sup>4</sup>University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

<sup>5</sup>Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

<sup>6</sup>Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK

<sup>7</sup>Northenden Group Practice, Manchester, UK

<sup>8</sup>Kings College Hospital, London, UK

<sup>9</sup>Guy's and St Thomas' Hospital, London, UK

<sup>10</sup>Diabetes UK, London, UK

<sup>11</sup>London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK

<sup>12</sup>Expert by Experience—Simultaneous Pancreas Kidney Transplant Recipient, United Kingdom

<sup>13</sup>Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Gastroenterology, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, School of Medical Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>14</sup>Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism Centre, NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

<sup>15</sup>Breakthrough T1D, London, UK

<sup>16</sup>Expert by Experience, United Kingdom

<sup>17</sup>Parent Carer, United Kingdom

<sup>18</sup>CKD Prevention Co-Chair, London Kidney Network, London, UK

<sup>19</sup>University College London, London, UK

<sup>20</sup>Queen Mary University London, London, UK

<sup>21</sup>Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK

<sup>22</sup>College of Science, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, UK

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to all attendees for providing their time and expertise at the event (Supporting Information Appendix A).

## FUNDING INFORMATION

Kidney Research UK, Diabetes UK and Breakthrough T1D.


## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

JK reports honoraria for delivering educational meetings and/or attending advisory boards from Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Lilly, and Daiichi Sankyo. MKR reports receiving consultancy payments from Eli Lilly, unrelated to the current work.

## ORCID

Zoe Markham-Lee  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8048-0926>

Jill Carlton  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9373-7663>

Hellena H. Habte-Asres  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8141-1423>

Claire Hill  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2481-5162>

Janaka Karalliedde  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2617-8320>

David C. Wheeler  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0745-3478>

## REFERENCES

- UK Health Research Analysis 2022. UK Clinical Research Collaboration. 2023. <https://hrcsonline.net/reports/analysis-reports/uk-health-research-analysis-2022/>
- Gnudi L. Renal disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: magnitude of the problem, risk factors and preventive strategies. *Presse Med.* 2022;52(1):104159. doi:10.1016/j.lpm.2022.104159
- Watanabe K, Sato E, Mishima E, Miyazaki M, Tanaka T. What's new in the molecular mechanisms of diabetic kidney disease: recent advances. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2022;24:570. doi:10.3390/ijms24010570
- Kato M, Natarajan R. Epigenetics and epigenomics in diabetic kidney disease and metabolic memory. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2019;15:327-345. doi:10.1038/s41581-019-0135-6
- Sandholm N, Cole JB, Nair V, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis and omics integration identifies novel genes associated with diabetic kidney disease. *Diabetologia.* 2022;65:1495-1509. doi:10.1007/s00125-022-05735-0
- Tye SC, Denig P, Heerspink HJL. Precision medicine approaches for diabetic kidney disease: opportunities and challenges. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2021;36:3-9. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfab045
- Barutta F, Bellini S, Canepa S, Durazzo M, Gruden G. Novel biomarkers of diabetic kidney disease: current status and potential clinical application. *Acta Diabetol.* 2021;58:819-830. doi:10.1007/s00592-020-01656-9
- Papaetis GS. Empagliflozin and the diabetic kidney: pathophysiological concepts and future challenges. *Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets.* 2021;21:1555-1589. doi:10.2174/1871530321999201214233421
- Xourafa G, Korbmacher M, Roden M. Inter-organ cross-talk during development and progression of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* 2024;20(1):27-49. doi:10.1038/s41574-023-00898-1
- Vallon V. State-of-the-art-review mechanisms of action of SGLT2 inhibitors and clinical implications. *Am J Hypertens.* 2024;37:841-852. doi:10.1093/ajh/hpae092
- Billing AM, Kim YC, Gullaksen S, et al. Metabolic communication by SGLT2 inhibition. *Circulation.* 2024;149(11):860-884. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.123.065517
- Mann JFE, Rossing P, Bakris G, et al. Effects of semaglutide with and without concomitant SGLT2 inhibitor use in participants with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease in the FLOW trial. *Nat Med.* 2024;30:2849-2856. doi:10.1038/s41591-024-03133-0
- Harjutsalo V, Groop PH. Epidemiology and risk factors for diabetic kidney disease. *Adv Kidney Dis Health.* 2014;21(3):260-266.
- Pecoits-Filho R, McCullough K, Muenz D, et al. Patiromer utilization in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease under nephrology care in Germany. *Clin Kidney J.* 2023;16(1):176-183. doi:10.1093/ckj/sfac209
- Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group, Nathan DM, Genuth S, et al. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;329(14):977-986. doi:10.1056/NEJM199309303291401
- Galaviz KI, Weber MB, Straus A, Haw JS, Narayan KMV, Ali MK. Global diabetes prevention interventions: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of the real-world impact on incidence, weight, and glucose. *Diabetes Care.* 2018;41(7):1526-1534. doi:10.2337/dc17-2222
- Shiferaw WS, Akalu TY, Desta M, Kassie AM, Petrucka PM, Aynalem YA. Effect of educational interventions on knowledge of the disease and glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ Open.* 2021;11:e049806. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049806
- Shirvani T, Javadivala Z, Azimi S, et al. Community-based educational interventions for prevention of type II diabetes: a global systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev.* 2021;10:81. doi:10.1186/s13643-021-01619-3
- Phillips K, Hazlehurst JM, Sheppard C, et al. Inequalities in the management of diabetic kidney disease in UK primary care: a cross-sectional analysis of a large primary care database. *Diabet Med.* 2024;41:1-14.
- Ozaki AF, Ko DT, Chong A, et al. Prescribing patterns and factors associated with sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitor

- prescribing in patients with diabetes mellitus and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *CMAJ Open*. 2023;11:E494-E503.
21. UK Kidney Association. *Ethnicity Disparities in Patients with Kidney Failure in England*; UK Kidney Association; 2023.
  22. Zuijdwijk CS, Cuerden M, Mahmud FH. Social determinants of health on glycemic control in pediatric type 1 diabetes. *J Pediatr*. 2013;162(4):730-735. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.12.010
  23. Hill C, Avila-Palencia I, Maxwell AP, Hunter RF, McKnight AJ. Harnessing the full potential of multi-omic analyses to advance the study and treatment of chronic kidney disease. *Front Nephrol*. 2022;2:923068. doi:10.3389/fneph.2022.923068
  24. Ibrahim H, Liu X, Zariffa N, Morris AD, Denniston AK. Health data poverty: an assailable barrier to equitable digital health care. *Lancet Digit Health*. 2021;3(4):e260-e265. doi:10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30317-4
  25. AuYoung M, Moin T, Richardson CR, Damschroder LJ. The Diabetes prevention program for underserved populations: a brief review of strategies in the real world. *Diabetes Spectr*. 2019;32(4):312-317. doi:10.2337/ds19-0007
  26. Papoutsis C, Hargreaves D, Hagell A, et al. *Group Clinics for Young Adults Living with Diabetes in an Ethnically Diverse, Socioeconomically Deprived Population: Mixed-Methods Evaluation*. National Institute for Health and Care Research; 2022.
  27. Mazzucca S, Arredondo EM, Hoelscher DM, et al. Expanding implementation research to prevent chronic diseases in community settings. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2021;42:135-158. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-090419-102,547
  28. Wilkinson E, Brettle A, Waqar M, Randhawa G. Inequalities and outcomes: end stage kidney disease in ethnic minorities. *BMC Nephrol*. 2019;20:1-12.
  29. Cornish F, Breton N, Moreno-Tabarex U, et al. Participatory action research. *Nat Rev Methods Primers*. 2023;3:34.
  30. Government, S. *Diabetes Care in Scotland Commitments for 2021–2026*; 2021 [https://www.diabetesinscotland.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/575776\\_SCT0221023700-001-P3.pdf](https://www.diabetesinscotland.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/575776_SCT0221023700-001-P3.pdf)
  31. National Health Service, N. R. *NHS England Diabetes Pathway—Last Updated June 2018*; n.d.
  32. NI, D. o. *NI Department of Health, A Diabetes Strategic Framework*; n.d. <https://www.health-ni.gov.uk/publications/diabetes-strategic-framework>
  33. Wales, N. *NHS Wales Diabetes Pathways*; n.d. <https://executive.nhs.wales/functions/networks-and-planning/diabetes/professionals-hub/pathways/>
  34. Reutens AT, Prentice L, Atkins RC. The epidemiology of diabetic kidney disease. *Kidney Dial*. 2022;2:499-517.
  35. Lerma EV. Diagnosis 101: diabetic kidney disease. *Clin Kidney J*. 2022;15:1797-1799.
  36. Tonneijck L, Muskiet MHA, Smits MM, et al. Glomerular hyperfiltration in diabetes: mechanisms, clinical significance, and treatment. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2017;28:1023-1039.
  37. Hill CJ, Cardwell CR, Patterson CC, et al. Chronic kidney disease and diabetes in the National Health Service: a cross-sectional survey of the UK National Diabetes Audit. *Diabet Med*. 2014;31:448-454.
  38. Molitch ME, Steffes M, Sun W, et al. Development and progression of renal insufficiency with and without albuminuria in adults with type 1 diabetes in the diabetes control and complications trial and the epidemiology of diabetes interventions and complications study. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33:1536-1543.
  39. Afkarian M, Zelnick LR, Hall YN, et al. Clinical manifestations of kidney disease among US adults with diabetes, 1988–2014. *JAMA*. 2016;316:602-610.
  40. Retnakaran R, Cull CA, Thorne KI, Adler AI, Holman RR. Risk factors for renal dysfunction in type 2 diabetes: U.K. Prospective Diabetes Study 74. *Diabetes*. 2006;55:1832-1839.
  41. KDIGO. KDIGO 2022 clinical practice guideline for diabetes management in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int*. 2022;102:S1-S127.
  42. NICE. *Type 2 Diabetes in Adults: Management*; NICE; 2022.
  43. Diabetes UK. *Diabetic Nephropathy (Kidney Disease)*; 2017. [https://www.diabetes.org.uk/guide-to-diabetes/complications/kidneys\\_nephropathy](https://www.diabetes.org.uk/guide-to-diabetes/complications/kidneys_nephropathy)
  44. McGill JB, Haller H, Roy-Chaudhury P, et al. Making an impact on kidney disease in people with type 2 diabetes: the importance of screening for albuminuria. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care*. 2022;10:1-9.
  45. Seidu S, Barrat J, Khunti K. Clinical update: the important role of dual kidney function testing (ACR and eGFR) in primary care: identification of risk and management in type 2 diabetes. *Prim Care Diabetes*. 2020;14:370-375.
  46. Sammut-Powell C, Sisk R, Budd J, Patel N, Edge M, Cameron R. Development of minimal resource pre-screening tools for chronic kidney disease in people with type 2 diabetes. *Futur Healthc J*. 2022;9:305-309.
  47. Holman N, Knighton P, Wild SH, et al. Cohort profile: National Diabetes Audit for England and Wales. *Diabet Med*. 2021;38:1-10.
  48. Fraser SDS, Parkes J, Culliford D, Santer M, Roderick PJ. Timeliness in chronic kidney disease and albuminuria identification: a retrospective cohort study. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2015;16:10-19.
  49. Glogowska M, Croxson C, Hayward G. Women's information needs around urine testing for urinary tract infections: a qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2022;72:E244-E251.
  50. Neale EP, Middleton J, Lambert K. Barriers and enablers to detection and management of chronic kidney disease in primary healthcare: a systematic review. *BMC Nephrol*. 2020;21:1-17.
  51. Slevin JTA, Taylor A. Understanding what the public know about their kidneys and what they do. Find from Ipsos MORI Surv. 2014.
  52. Hamza W, Burton JO. Chronic kidney disease awareness and updates on the management of diabetic kidney disease. *Pract Diabetes*. 2023;40:16-20.
  53. ABCD. *Clinical Practice Guidelines for Management of Hyperglycaemia in Adults with Diabetic Kidney Disease: Update*. Association of British Clinical Diabetologists; 2021.
  54. JBDS. *Management of Adults with Diabetes on Dialysis*. JBDS; 2023.
  55. Habte-Asres HH, Murrells T, Nitsch D, Wheeler DC, Forbes A. Glycaemic variability and progression of chronic kidney disease in people with diabetes and comorbid kidney disease: retrospective cohort study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2022;193:110117.
  56. Habte-Asres HH, Harber M, Rosenthal M. Optimising diabetes care for kidney transplant recipients. *J Clin Nephrol Res*. 2024;11(1):1117.
  57. NHS Providers. *Co-Production and Engagement with Communities as a Solution to Reducing Health Inequalities*. NHS; 2024.

58. NICE. *Transition from Children's to Adults' Services for Young People Using Health or Social Care Services [NG43]*; 2016.
59. Busetto L, Bettini S, Makaronidis J, Roberts CA, Halford JCG, Batterham RL. Mechanisms of weight regain. *Eur J Intern Med.* 2021;93:3-7. doi:[10.1016/j.ejim.2021.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2021.01.002)
60. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Jafar TH, Nitsch D, Neuen BL, Perkovic V. Chronic kidney disease. *Lancet.* 2021;398(10302):786-802. doi:[10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00519-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00519-5)

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

**How to cite this article:** Markham-Lee Z, Bhagat-Jones S, Carlton J, et al. Research recommendations from the 2024 Breakthrough T1D, Diabetes UK and Kidney Research UK, diabetes and kidney disease expert workshop. *Diabet Med.* 2025;00:e70013. doi:[10.1111/dme.70013](https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.70013)